1	VIRGINIA:
2	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY
3	x
4	JOHN C. DEPP, II, :
5	Plaintiff, :
6	v. : Case No.
7	AMBER LAURA HEARD, : CL-2019-0002911
8	Defendant. :
9	x
10	
11	CONFIDENTIAL - UNDER SEAL
12	
13	HEARING
14	Before the Honorable PENNEY AZCARATE
15	Fairfax, Virginia
16	Friday, October 8, 2021
17	11:51 a.m.
18	
19	
20	Job No.: 403339
21	Pages: 1 - 34
22	Reported by: Carol A. Lowe, RPR

CONFIDENTIAL - UNDER SEAL Transcript of Hearing

Conducted on October 8, 2021

1	past. So there's no question an IME could answer.
2	Of course, other than Ms. Heard Mr. Depp
3	has never even been accused much less arrested or
4	convicted of assaulting anyone; unlike Ms. Heard
5	who was arrested for assaulting her then
6	girlfriend, Tasya van Ree, and who spent a night
7	in jail nor has Mr. Depp had any contact, no
8	emails, no calls, no texts, with Ms. Heard in a
9	long time.
10	Defendant's reference to Dr. Kipper's
11	report is inapposite for the following reasons.
12	A, Dr. Kipper is a general internist. He's not a
13	psychologist. B, he treated both Mr. Depp and
14	Ms. Heard as their physician. C, Dr. Kipper did
15	no psychological testing of Mr. Depp that could be
16	retested for which Dr. Kipper would be wildly
17	unqualified. D, no one, not Mr. Depp or Dr.
18	Kipper, has put Mr. Depp's mental condition at
19	issue nor have they suggested that he has any
20	condition or takes any medications that in any way
21	proves he did not abuse Ms. Heard.
22	And, to be clear, we are not proffering

CONFIDENTIAL - UNDER SEAL Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 8, 2021

1	Dr. Kipper as an expert. And we'll be our
2	expert disclosures given the continuance of the
3	trial are not due until January. And I will make
4	this representation. And had there been a
5	meet-and-confer, we would have done this.
6	But we are not proffering Dr. Kipper as
7	an expert on anything. We are proffering him as a
8	fact witness. And irrespective of the letter to
9	Christi Dembrowski who's Mr. Depp's sister from
10	Dr. Kipper, Dr. Kipper has testified in response
11	to Mr Ms. Bredehoft's questioning that he
12	never saw any injury on Ms. Heard.
13	That's what we're bringing him to tell
14	the jury; that there was no injury. And that's
15	consistent with the very credible, repeated
16	testimony by Officers Melissa Saenz and Tyler
17	Hadden that there were no marks on Ms. Heard.
18	And, remember, Officer Saenz had handled over a
19	hundred domestic abuse cases. So she knew what to
20	look for and when to look for it.
21	Ms. Heard's reference to fairness is
22	ironic as fairness strongly militates toward